

REMARKS

In response to the 09/12/06 Office Action, applicant is accepting the claims indicated as allowable.

5 I. Interview Summary. On December 6, 2006 the undersigned spoke with the Examiner regarding the amendment to claim 1. Applicant understands the Examiner will take the proposed amendment under consideration and applicant appreciates the Examiner's courtesy in discussing the matter after final.

10 II. Claim Objections. The Office Action objected to claims 28 and 29 because of the language concerning "two dimensional" displays. Applicant is accepting the Examiner's suggesting and amending this language to read "three dimensional."

15 III. Status of Claim 2. The Office Action indicated that claim 2, and 4-27 would be allowable if written in independent form. Applicant believes claim 2 was amended to independent form in the previous June 3, 2006 Response and claims 4-27 were amended to depend from claim 2. If applicant has misunderstood the intent of the Office Action, applicant requests that the Examiner contact the undersigned.

IV. Priority Claim. The Office Action asserted that the subject matter of the claims in the present application is not support by the specification of parent application 10/026,919. Applicant requests the Examiner reconsider this conclusion, particularly with respect to claims 28 and 29.

20 Applicant presumes the Examiner believes it is the compound tilt angle θ_c , the nonzero horizontal tilt angle θ_h , and the nonzero vertical tilt angle θ_v which are allegedly not supported by the specification of parent application 10/026,919. However, applicant submits these elements are supported; either expressly in the specification and the drawings, or at least inherently if not expressly. For example, paragraph [0042] of the 25 10/026,919 specification describes "a compound angle introduced by overlapping tiles on the bottom and right hand edges on the front face." Figures 2 and 3 of the 10/026,919 application clearly show the tiles 10 overlapping in a manner which will

produce the compound angle. Viewing Figure 3, the manner in which tile 10₇ overlaps both tiles 10₁ and 10₂ will inherently provide some (i.e., a nonzero) vertical and horizontal tilt angle, which in turn form the compound angle described in the 10/026,919 specification. Despite the symbols “θ_c,” “θ_h,” and “θ_v” not be labeled on the drawings of the 10/026,919 drawings, these angles are present and can be understood from the 10/026,919 drawings and specification. Indeed, given the stepped relationship of three tiles at one corner (e.g., the overlapping of tiles 10₇, 10₁, and 10₂) described in the 10/026,919 application, it is geometrically inevitable that some nonzero horizontal and vertical tilt angle (and thus compound angle) will result. Therefore, the claims reciting the compound tilt angle θ_c, the horizontal tilt angle θ_h, and the vertical tilt angle θ_v are unmistakably supported by the 10/026,919 application.

V. 35 USC §102 Rejection of Claim 1. The 09/12/06 Office Action maintained the earlier rejection of claim 1 over the Bayrle reference. Applicant now understands from the Examiner’s further explanation that the Examiner does not read claim 1 as being limited to OLED’s having separately addressable pixel elements. Applicant is amending claim 1 to eliminate any question on this point by reciting “said OLED material comprising a plurality of separately addressable pixel elements.” Since the Examiner has stated that she “openly agrees that Bayrle does not teach the OLEDs are separately addressable,” applicant submits the amendment of claim 1 clearly overcomes the rejection based upon Bayrle.

Applicant has also amended several of the allowed dependent claims to correct typographical errors and lack of antecedent basis issues. Applicant believes the above amendments overcome all rejections of record and requests allowance of the pending claims.

Appl. No. 10/813,541
Amdt. dated December 7, 2006
Reply to Office Action of September 12, 2006

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

Date: 7 DEC 06

5

10



Lance A. Foster
Registration No.: 38,882
Jones, Walker, Waechter, Poitevent,
Carrère & Denègre, L.L.P.
8555 United Plaza Boulevard, 4th Floor
Baton Rouge, LA 70809
Telephone: (225) 248-2074
Facsimile: (225) 248-3074